

Submission Date: 05 Jan 2024

(Please remember that the HIP is for new initiatives/measures/schemes in your community – it is NOT to be used as a maintenance log, as these MUST be logged using the online reporting tool via this link https://www.kent.gov.uk/roads-and-travel/report-a-problem)

HIP Front Cover

HIP Version	Submitted by (Name)		HIP Date		Record Of Meeting Dates with KCC Virtual or Face to Face			County Member	
1	Cllr Chris Page		05/01/2024		tbc		Sean Holden		
Please list below the funding opportunities/Sources for H initiatives/Measures					ources for HIP		To be discussed		k
Are you an active member of the Speed Watch Scheme?Yes □ No ⊠				Are you an active member of the Lorry Watch Scheme?		Yes □ No ⊠			
	Name of HIP Cllr Chris Page Representative		-	Contact Telephone Number	07920 887 156	5	Email Address	Chris.Page@cspc.org.uk	
Name of	Clerk	rk Clare Bezuidenhout		-	Contact Telephone Number	01580 713112		Email Address	clerk@CSPC.org.uk
Name of	Chair	Kim Fletcher		-	Contact Telephone Number	01580 713112		Email Address	chairman@cspc.org.uk
KCC Pro Manager	-		-	Contact Telephone Number	03000 418181		Email Address	west.highwayimprovements@kent.gov.uk	

• Please note the Priority column <u>MUST</u> be those issues which are regarded as the most important (No 1 being your highest priority, then filtering down) KCC is unable to guarantee that all your requests will be deliverable, but Project Managers can investigate your top 1 or 2 priorities <u>per year</u>.

Please Note: Highway Improvement Plans will only be accepted if they are in this prescribed template format. **PLEASE DO NOT ALTER IN ANY WAY**. Whilst this is intended to be a living document for your Parish Council, KCC can only make resources available to review your HIP annually – **Cranbrook and Sissinghurst's review is on or after DECEMBER each year**.



Live

Priority	Location	USRN	Problem/Concern	What do you feel are the potential	KCC Comments (This column is to be
				solutions?	completed by Project Manager ONLY)
1.	A262		23.0% of respondent comments to 2023	Traffic calming – physical (or virtual)	
	Sissinghurst		parish consultation related to this as an	measures to slow cars and provide	
			area of concern.	means to keep the flow of traffic	
	(Sissinghurst			through the village through give way /	
	Road / The		Aligns to policy AM5.5 – Safer Road	priority signs – similarly to	
	Street)		Conditions of Neighbourhood	Lamberhurst.	
			<u>Development Plan – Oct 2023.</u>	20mph speed limit – within the	
				village.	
			In the main road through Sissinghurst	Improve gateway treatments and	
			village – which is an A road – many	<u>enforcement</u> – for 30mph zone at	
			residents and visitors are worried and	either end of the village which	
			impacted by:	variously reduces from 40 (West) or	
			<u>Speeding</u>	50 (East) – this impacts speeding cars	
			 Speed limit in the village (30) 	within the village. Residents have	
			 <u>Congestion</u> – particularly at 	commented that current signage isn't	
			morning and afternoon rush	working to slow cars to the 30 limit on	
			hours, which are partly	entry to the village at either end.	
			exacerbated by HGVs	Weight & Width restrictions – to	
			 <u>HGVs</u> – many are or are causing 	avoid, in particular, large HGVs using	
			other vehicles to mount	the village where the infrastructure is	
			pavements to pass	not setup to accommodate them.	
			 Lack of pedestrian crossing 	Routes starting from Forstal Farm	
			Many residents have been in the village	along the entire A262 past Biddenden	
			for a number of years and seen a	do not have suitable HGV suitability	
			noticeable long term deterioration in	signs (the existing ones are clearly	
			the traffic conditions in recent years.	inadequate) or enforcement to those	
			Residents living on the main street have	signs.	
			moved out of Sissinghurst, citing issues	Pedestrian Crossing – for residents as	
			with the main road as a key factor.	there is currently no allotted infrastructure to allow this.	
			The number of new developments		
			approved in Sissinghurst has adversely	<u>Review classification of A road</u> – to	
				stop large HGVs driving through the	



		impacted local transport which has not	village which adds significantly to	
		been addressed.	congestion, speeding and impact to	
			pedestrians.	
2.	<u>A229</u>	14.8% of respondent comments to 2023	Reduce speed limit – this area has	
	<u>Cranbrook</u>	parish consultation related to this as an	consistent feedback from impacted	
	<u>Common</u>	area of concern. A petition has also been	residents on a suitable solution, which	
		started by impacted residents.	would be to reduce the speed limit to	
	(more		40mph around Cranbrook Common,	
	generally	Aligns to policy AM5.5 – Safer Road	and ensure the speed limit is	
	between	Conditions of Neighbourhood	consistent along this stretch of road.	
	<u>Wilsley</u>	<u>Development Plan – Oct 2023.</u>	There are points where a speed limit	
	Pound > Knox		change is for 0.6 miles then it changes	
	Bridge)	Speeding and dangerous driving is	back again.	
		consistently identified in the comments		
		received on this stretch of road. The	Improved signage – of speed limits to	
		A229 road leading from Wllsley Pound	support compliance to limits.	
		to Knoxbridge has a number of speed		
		limit changes and, as there are various	Pedestrian Crossing – near Cranbrook	
		residential areas and concealed egress	Common junction to support – in	
		points on the road – cars are travelling	particular – children crossing the road	
		too fast at these points. It is causing	to approach Sissinghurst Primary	
		considerable difficulties for residents	School on Common Road.	
		and several accidents this year.		
		Particularly around the Cranbrook		
		Common section – the speed limit		
		changes from 50 <> 40 in various points		
		– there should be a consistent and		
		appropriate limit.		
		In addition, residents have started their		
		own petition (with over 20 signatories)		
		to get the speed limit changed which		
		has been presented to KCC & County		
		Member in July 2023.		
		Anecdotal evidence suggest cars are		
		speeding in isolated parts of the road as		
		speculing in isolated parts of the road as		



		road users who do not routinely use the		
		A229 are unaware of the number of		
		limit changes.		
3.	High Street,	13.3% of respondent comments to 2023	Traffic calming measures in the High	
	Cranbrook	parish consultation related to this as an	Street – in particular to protect school	
		area of concern.	children and elderly pedestrians	
			within the town.	
		Aligns to policy AM5.1 Pedestrian		
		Environment & AM5.2 – Pedestrian	20mph Limit extension from the	
		Priority of Neighbourhood Development	current location.	
		Plan – Oct 2023.		
			New / Improved Footway at the	
		Speeding and general Traffic Calming	bottom end of the High Street (near	
		requirements have been identified to	the White Horse public house)	
		ensure pedestrians and other road users		
		feel safer.		
		Several parishioners have identified		
		Speeding and Speed Limits as a specific		
		issue at the top end of the High Street.		
		Relating to a lack of Pedestrian Crossing ,		
		the top of the High Street (outside		
		Cranbrook Fire Station) towards the		
		entrance to the Co-Op / Regal Car Park		
		has been highlighted as a particular area		
		of concern.		
		Additionally – parishioners have		
		identified inadequate <u>Footpath</u> facilities		
		including a lack of handrails on the main		
		pavement and a lack of benches, as an		
		issue for elderly residents/pedestrians.		
4.	<u>Common</u>	12.6% of respondent comments to 2023	20mph zone – around the Primary	
	<u>Road,</u>	parish consultation related to this as an	School. This is a clear danger hotspot	
	Sissinghurst	area of concern.	for all families, parents and young	
			children – many of whom have	
			children under 5 years of age walking	



-				
		Aligns to policy AM5.2 Pedestrian	to school with older siblings – risk of	
		Priority of Neighbourhood Development	serious incident is too high.	
		<u> Plan – Oct 2023.</u>		
			Gateway Treatments - to reinforce	
		Two particular areas highlighted were	speed limit reductions from 40>30, or	
		Traffic Calming and an issue on the	encourage road users to "slow" whilst	
		current Speed Limit . There is a Primary	travelling South from the Knox Bridge	
		School on this road which often receives	end of Common Road, towards	
		cars travelling over the speed limit,	Sissinghurst village.	
		arriving from the A229 to the North.		
		Even with the existing limit, many	Traffic Calming – as this particular	
		families use the road to walk their young	area of Sissinghurst village has	
		children to school alongside a busy road.	experienced significant new	
		Several egress points exist for residential	residential development.	
		blocks and many parishioners have also	·	
		raised speeding as an issue along this		
		road.		
5.	Waterloo	5.2% of respondent comments to 2023	Traffic Calming measures to be	
	Road / The	parish consultation related to this as an	reviewed – many residents are	
	<u>Hill,</u>	area of concern.	unhappy with / feel the current speed	
	<u>Cranbrook</u>		bumps are not working. Other	
		Aligns to policy AM5.2 Pedestrian	measures should be investigated.	
		Priority & AM5.5 – Safer Road	New Footway infrastructure should be	
		Conditions of Neighbourhood	reviewed to address the problems	
		<u> Development Plan – Oct 2023.</u>	highlighted at the top and bottom of	
			the hill.	
		This was the final area that received		
		over 5% of total comments across all		
		parishioners – particularly highlighting		
		Speeding and Traffic Calming as areas		
		for concern. Most of parishioners		
		comments relating to this area		
		highlighted the current speed bumps are		
		not working, are dangerous (leading to		
		road users swerving around parked		



		cars), are evaded (e.g. by large SUVs)		
		and are causing a noise problem.		
		Parking of cars attending Cranbrook		
		School (students and teachers) are		
		impacting residents.		
		Finally – this area had several comments		
		relating to the poor condition of the		
		<u>Footway</u> – both at the bottom of the hill		
		(where it is too narrow for wheelchair or		
		pushchair users) and higher up the hill at		
		the steepest point where a handrail		
		would benefit elderly pedestrian users.		
5.	Other Key	Additional comments relating to the	Traffic Calming – on Stone Street &	
	<u>Areas</u>	following key areas received.	Golford Road	
			Pelican Crossing – on Hartley Road	
	Stone Street,	Aligns to policy AM5.1 Pedestrian		
	<u>Cranbrook</u>	Environment, AM5.2 Pedestrian Priority		
		<u>& AM5.5 – Safer Road Conditions of</u>		
	Hartley Road,	Neighbourhood Development Plan.		
	<u>Cranbrook</u>			
		Stone Street, Cranbrook – speeding on		
	Golford Road,	narrow road, and cars mounting		
	<u>Sissinghurst /</u>	pavement (at significant risk to		
	<u>Cranbrook</u>	pedestrians) in order to pass other cars.		
		Hartley Road, Cranbrook – pedestrian		
		crossing (and lack thereof) near the War		
		Memorial junction and near new		
		housing developments.		
		<u>Golford Road – Sissinghurst/Cranbrook</u> .		
		Speeding reported around this area and		
		dangerous junction at intersection with		
		Common Road.		



Priorities Record



Historical Priorities Record

No	Location	USRN	Problem/Concern	What do you feel are the potential	KCC Comments
				solutions?	